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Since the 1995 discovery of kinetically stable terminal phosphide complexes $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{R}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)^{1}$ and $\mathrm{PM}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}),{ }^{2}$ it has been of interest to determine the extent to which sterically demanding amido ligands are required for terminal MP $(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})$ triple bond protection. Prior to 1995, attempts to generate and observe terminal phosphide complexes had focused on ancillary alkoxide ligation. In Chisholm's 1988 paper on $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ addition to $\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{ONp})_{6}\left(\mathrm{HNMe}_{2}\right)$, where $\mathrm{Np}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $t$-Bu, the intermediacy of $\mathrm{PW}(\mathrm{ONp})_{3}$ (not observed) is postulated. ${ }^{3}$ In 1999, reinvestigating prior work of Becker, ${ }^{4-6}$ Scheer and coworkers studied by NMR the metathesis reaction of $\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu})_{6}$ with phosphaalkyne $\mathrm{PC}-t$ - Bu at low temperature and found a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ signal at $\delta=845 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{WP}}=176 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ attributable to the reactive intermediate, $\mathrm{PW}(\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}{ }^{7}$ While the latter could not be isolated, its stabilization was achieved by in situ $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{W})$ capping of the terminal phosphide; following this strategy, the $\mu$-phosphido complex $(t-\mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{~W}(\mu-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ was isolated and structurally characterized. Scheer has extended this methodology to include the synthesis and properties of (THF) $\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~W}(\mu-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{M}-$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mo} ; \mathrm{Ar}^{2}=2,6-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right) .^{8}$ Isolable terminal phosphide complexes of the type $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ have, to date, remained elusive.

Valuable as well-defined initiators of alkyne metathesis are molybdenum alkylidyne complexes such as $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{CMo}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ad})_{3}$, where Ad $=1$-adamantyl, an efficient synthesis of which we devised recently via facile alcoholysis of the amido ligands belonging to the precursor $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{CMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}{ }^{.}$With the present work, we adapt this alcoholysis strategy to the synthesis of the first kinetically persistent terminal phosphide complex supported solely by alkoxide ancillary ligation. ${ }^{10}$

From molybdaziridine-hydride $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{H})\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CNAr}\right)(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}]-$ $\mathrm{Ar})_{2},{ }^{11}$ a synthon for the reactive molybdenum(III) tris-amide Mo-$(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$, treatment with $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ provides phosphide-bridged ( $\mu-$ $\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{~N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{6}$. This is followed by reductive carbonylation to cleave the phosphide bridge and produce salt $\left[\mathrm{Na}(12 \text {-crown-4) })_{2}\right.$ $\left[(\mathrm{OC}) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}\right]$ along with neutral, four-coordinate terminal phosphide $\operatorname{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$ in gram quantities and $83 \%$ yield. ${ }^{12}$ The latter has a characteristic extreme downfield ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shift of 1256 ppm and has been the subject of an X-ray crystal structure investigation. ${ }^{12}$
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy was used to assess the consequences of $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$ alcoholysis for a variety of commercially available alcohols. In the particular case of 1-methylcyclohexanol, alcoholysis of $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$ provided the kinetically persistent, monomeric, 4-coordinate terminal phosphide complex $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}(\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{R}=$ 1-methylcyclohexyl, Scheme 1). Complex 1 displays a singlet in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR at $\delta=1130 \mathrm{ppm}$ and was isolated in $57 \%$ yield by recrystallization (pentane, $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as a yellow crystalline solid. A

Scheme 1. Reaction of $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$ with Alcohols

single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of $\mathbf{1}$ revealed the molecule's structure as depicted in Figure 1.

Important structural parameters for complex 1 include the bond distances $(\AA) \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P} 1=2.1144(16)$ and $\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{O} 1=1.857(2)$, and the bond angles (deg) $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O} 1=107.79$ (6) and $\mathrm{Mo}-$ $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 11=141.92(16)$. In the crystal, $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits $C_{3}$ molecular symmetry, its Mo-P triple bond vector being coincident with a three-fold axis of the $R-\overline{3} c$ space group. Interestingly, the Mo-P triple bond distance for $\mathbf{1}$ is not significantly longer or shorter than distances observed for corresponding phosphides stabilized by bulky amide ligands. ${ }^{1,2}$ In this respect, the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P}$ triple bond functional group retains its identity independent of the nature of ancillary supporting ligands. The closest contact from the phosphorus atom of one molecule to the molybdenum atom of another molecule is $6.887 \AA$, revealing a structure that is not polymeric in the solid state. This is unlike the solid-state structure of $\mathrm{NMo}(\mathrm{O}[t-\mathrm{Bu}])_{3}$, which has close contacts $(2.844 \AA$ ) between the nitrogen from one molecule and the molybdenum of the next. ${ }^{13}$

Use of slightly less bulky alcohols led to slow dimerization of the terminal phosphide unit after alcoholysis. A long-lived ( $t_{1 / 2}=$ ca. 6 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, toluene) terminal phosphide $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{OAd})_{3}(\mathbf{2})$ is formed when $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\operatorname{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$ is treated with 3 equiv of 1 -adamantanol in $n$-pentane. This beige compound has a characteristic ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR shift at $\delta=1124 \mathrm{ppm}$. Over approximately 1 day in


Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}$ with ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Mo1-P1, 2.1144(16); Mo1-O1, 1.857(2); O1-C11, 1.456(3); P1-Mo1-O1, 107.79(6); Mo1-O1-C11, 141.92(16).


Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of $[3]_{2}$ with ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Mo-P, 2.4951(12); $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime}, 2.3926(12) ; \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime}, 2.086(2) ; \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N} 1,1.940$ (3); $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O} 2,1.930(3) ; \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O} 3,2.005(3) ; \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}, 2.339(3) ; \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, 50.49(6); $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}^{-\mathrm{Mo}^{\prime}, ~ 54.65(3) ; ~} \mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}^{\prime}, 67.08(3) ; \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N} 1$, 84.96(10); $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O} 2,142.05(9) ; \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O} 3,80.81$ (8).
toluene solution, 2 cleanly dimerizes to brown $\left[\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{OAd})_{3}\right]_{2}[2]_{2}$ with concomitant appearance of a new ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signal at $\delta=188$ ppm.

Rapid dimerization of the MoP unit was observed when 3 equiv of 2,6-dimethylphenol was utilized. Alcoholysis was incomplete, and green-brown $\left[\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{N}[i-\mathrm{Pr}] \operatorname{Ar})\left(\mathrm{OAr}^{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ was isolated in $51 \%$ yield ( $[3]_{2}$, Scheme 1). An X-ray diffraction study revealed a slightly skewed tetrahedral $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ core ( $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P}, 2.4951$ (12) $\AA$; $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, $2.3926(12) \AA$ ) (Figure 2). The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ distance (2.086(2) $\AA$ ) is shorter than that in elemental $\mathrm{P}_{4}(2.21 \AA)$ and that in Chisholm's similar tungsten compound $\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{O}[i-\mathrm{Pr}])_{6}(\mathrm{py})\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)(2.154(4) \AA),{ }^{14}$ but it is slightly longer than that in Scherer's compound $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](2.079(2) \AA) .{ }^{15} \mathrm{~A}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $[3]_{2}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ reveals a mixture of $C_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $C_{2}$ isomers in solution. A singlet at $\delta=235$ ppm can be assigned to the $C_{2}$ isomer where both phosphorus atoms are magnetically equivalent, and a pair of doublets centered at $\delta=$ 248 and $238 \mathrm{ppm}\left(J_{\mathrm{PP}}=366 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ can be assigned to the $C_{\mathrm{s}}$ isomer where the phosphorus atoms are magnetically distinct.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shielding calculations were carried out on two model systems, $\mathrm{PMo}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PMo}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]_{2}$, based upon X-ray structural parameters of $\mathbf{1}$ and $[3]_{2}{ }^{16} \mathrm{We}$ obtained for the terminal phosphide a calculated chemical shift $\delta=1141$ ppm , while for the dimer the calculated chemical shift $\delta=203$ ppm . These values are in good agreement with data for the experimental systems reported herein. The ${ }^{31}$ P NMR chemical shift for both model compounds is determined exclusively by the paramagnetic shielding term, $\sigma_{\text {para }}{ }^{17}$ as the diamagnetic contribution ( $\sigma_{\text {dia }}$ ) is invariant at ca. 965 ppm , and the spin-orbit term $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{so}}\right)$ is no larger than ca. $20 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{18}$

Formation of the $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ core via terminal phosphide dimerization is a process of great interest as well as potential mechanistic complexity. Chisholm's recent theoretical study of a related process involving breakup of isolobal $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathrm{CH})_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})$ alkoxidesupported cores may be consulted for considerable insight. ${ }^{19}$
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