

## Communication

# Molybdenum–Phosphorus Triple Bond Stabilization by Ancillary Alkoxide Ligation: Synthesis and Structure of a Terminal Phosphide Tris-1-methylcyclohexanoxide Complex

Frances H. Stephens, Joshua S. Figueroa, Paula L. Diaconescu, and Christopher C. Cummins J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125 (31), 9264-9265• DOI: 10.1021/ja0354317 • Publication Date (Web): 15 July 2003 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 29, 2009



## **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 7 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article





Subscriber access provided by American Chemical Society

View the Full Text HTML



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036



#### Published on Web 07/15/2003

### Molybdenum–Phosphorus Triple Bond Stabilization by Ancillary Alkoxide Ligation: Synthesis and Structure of a Terminal Phosphide Tris-1-methylcyclohexanoxide Complex

Frances H. Stephens, Joshua S. Figueroa, Paula L. Diaconescu, and Christopher C. Cummins\*

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 2-227, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Received April 2, 2003; E-mail: ccummins@mit.edu

Since the 1995 discovery of kinetically stable terminal phosphide complexes PMo(N[R]Ar)<sub>3</sub> (R = C(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; Ar =  $3,5-C_6H_3Me_2$ )<sup>1</sup> and PM(Me<sub>3</sub>SiNCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>N (M = Mo, W),<sup>2</sup> it has been of interest to determine the extent to which sterically demanding amido ligands are required for terminal MP (M = Mo, W) triple bond protection. Prior to 1995, attempts to generate and observe terminal phosphide complexes had focused on ancillary alkoxide ligation. In Chisholm's 1988 paper on  $P_4$  addition to  $W_2(ONp)_6(HNMe_2)$ , where  $Np = CH_2$ t-Bu, the intermediacy of PW(ONp)<sub>3</sub> (not observed) is postulated.<sup>3</sup> In 1999, reinvestigating prior work of Becker,<sup>4-6</sup> Scheer and coworkers studied by NMR the metathesis reaction of W<sub>2</sub>(O-t-Bu)<sub>6</sub> with phosphaalkyne PC-t-Bu at low temperature and found a <sup>31</sup>P signal at  $\delta = 845$  ppm ( ${}^{1}J_{WP} = 176$  Hz) attributable to the reactive intermediate, PW(O-t-Bu)3.7 While the latter could not be isolated, its stabilization was achieved by in situ  $M(CO)_5$  (M = Cr, W) capping of the terminal phosphide; following this strategy, the  $\mu$ -phosphido complex (t-BuO)<sub>3</sub>W( $\mu$ -P)W(CO)<sub>5</sub> was isolated and structurally characterized. Scheer has extended this methodology to include the synthesis and properties of (THF)(Ar<sup>2</sup>O)<sub>3</sub>W(µ-P)M- $(CO)_5$  (M = Cr, Mo; Ar<sup>2</sup> = 2,6-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>).<sup>8</sup> Isolable terminal phosphide complexes of the type PM(OR)<sub>3</sub> have, to date, remained elusive.

Valuable as well-defined initiators of alkyne metathesis are molybdenum alkylidyne complexes such as Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>CMo(O-Ad)<sub>3</sub>, where Ad = 1-adamantyl, an efficient synthesis of which we devised recently via facile alcoholysis of the amido ligands belonging to the precursor Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>CMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>9</sup> With the present work, we adapt this alcoholysis strategy to the synthesis of the first kinetically persistent terminal phosphide complex supported solely by alkoxide ancillary ligation.<sup>10</sup>

From molybdaziridine-hydride  $Mo(H)(\eta^2-Me_2CNAr)(N[i-Pr]-Ar)_2$ ,<sup>11</sup> a synthon for the reactive molybdenum(III) tris-amide Mo-(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub>, treatment with P<sub>4</sub> provides phosphide-bridged ( $\mu$ -P)Mo<sub>2</sub>(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>6</sub>. This is followed by reductive carbonylation to cleave the phosphide bridge and produce salt [Na(12-crown-4)<sub>2</sub>]-[(OC)Mo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub>] along with neutral, four-coordinate terminal phosphide PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub> in gram quantities and 83% yield.<sup>12</sup> The latter has a characteristic extreme downfield <sup>31</sup>P NMR chemical shift of 1256 ppm and has been the subject of an X-ray crystal structure investigation.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy was used to assess the consequences of PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub> alcoholysis for a variety of commercially available alcohols. In the particular case of 1-methylcyclohexanol, alcoholysis of PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub> provided the kinetically persistent, monomeric, 4-coordinate terminal phosphide complex PMo(OR)<sub>3</sub> (**1**, R = 1-methylcyclohexyl, Scheme 1). Complex **1** displays a singlet in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR at  $\delta$  = 1130 ppm and was isolated in 57% yield by recrystallization (pentane, -35 °C) as a yellow crystalline solid. A

enum(III) tris-amide Mo-

Scheme 1. Reaction of PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub> with Alcohols



single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of **1** revealed the molecule's structure as depicted in Figure 1.

Important structural parameters for complex 1 include the bond distances (Å) Mo1-P1 = 2.1144(16) and Mo1-O1 = 1.857(2), and the bond angles (deg) P1-Mo-O1 = 107.79(6) and Mo-O1-C11 = 141.92(16). In the crystal, 1 exhibits  $C_3$  molecular symmetry, its Mo-P triple bond vector being coincident with a three-fold axis of the  $R-\bar{3}c$  space group. Interestingly, the Mo–P triple bond distance for 1 is not significantly longer or shorter than distances observed for corresponding phosphides stabilized by bulky amide ligands.<sup>1,2</sup> In this respect, the Mo-P triple bond functional group retains its identity independent of the nature of ancillary supporting ligands. The closest contact from the phosphorus atom of one molecule to the molybdenum atom of another molecule is 6.887 Å, revealing a structure that is not polymeric in the solid state. This is unlike the solid-state structure of NMo(O[t-Bu])<sub>3</sub>, which has close contacts (2.844 Å) between the nitrogen from one molecule and the molybdenum of the next.<sup>13</sup>

Use of slightly less bulky alcohols led to slow dimerization of the terminal phosphide unit after alcoholysis. A long-lived ( $t_{1/2}$  = ca. 6 h at 20 °C, toluene) terminal phosphide PMo(OAd)<sub>3</sub> (2) is formed when PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)<sub>3</sub> is treated with 3 equiv of 1-adamantanol in *n*-pentane. This beige compound has a characteristic <sup>31</sup>P NMR shift at  $\delta$  = 1124 ppm. Over approximately 1 day in



*Figure 1.* X-ray crystal structure of **1** with ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo1–P1, 2.1144(16); Mo1–O1, 1.857(2); O1–C11, 1.456(3); P1–Mo1–O1, 107.79(6); Mo1–O1–C11, 141.92(16).



*Figure 2.* X-ray crystal structure of **[3]**<sub>2</sub> with ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo–P, 2.4951(12); Mo–P', 2.3926(12); P–P', 2.086(2); Mo–N1, 1.940(3); Mo–O2, 1.930(3); Mo–O3, 2.005(3); Mo–O3', 2.339(3); P–Mo–P', 50.49(6); P–Mo–Mo', 54.65(3); Mo–P–Mo', 67.08(3); P–Mo–N1, 84.96(10); P–Mo–O2, 142.05(9); P–Mo–O3, 80.81(8).

toluene solution, **2** cleanly dimerizes to brown [PMo(OAd)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> [**2**]<sub>2</sub> with concomitant appearance of a new <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal at  $\delta = 188$  ppm.

Rapid dimerization of the MoP unit was observed when 3 equiv of 2,6-dimethylphenol was utilized. Alcoholysis was incomplete, and green-brown [PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)(OAr<sup>2</sup>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> was isolated in 51% yield ([**3**]<sub>2</sub>, Scheme 1). An X-ray diffraction study revealed a slightly skewed tetrahedral Mo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> core (Mo–P, 2.4951(12) Å; Mo–P', 2.3926(12) Å) (Figure 2). The P–P distance (2.086(2) Å) is shorter than that in elemental P<sub>4</sub> (2.21 Å) and that in Chisholm's similar tungsten compound W<sub>2</sub>(O[*i*-Pr])<sub>6</sub>(py)( $\mu$ -P<sub>2</sub>) (2.154(4) Å),<sup>14</sup> but it is slightly longer than that in Scherer's compound [Mo<sub>2</sub>Cp<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>-( $\mu$ -P<sub>2</sub>)] (2.079(2) Å).<sup>15</sup> Å <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of [**3**]<sub>2</sub> in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> reveals a mixture of C<sub>s</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> isomers in solution. A singlet at  $\delta$  = 235 ppm can be assigned to the C<sub>2</sub> isomer where both phosphorus atoms are magnetically equivalent, and a pair of doublets centered at  $\delta$  = 248 and 238 ppm (J<sub>PP</sub> = 366 Hz) can be assigned to the C<sub>s</sub> isomer where the phosphorus atoms are magnetically distinct. <sup>31</sup>P NMR chemical shielding calculations were carried out on two model systems, PMo(OH)<sub>3</sub> and [PMo( $\mu$ -OH)(OH)(NH<sub>2</sub>)]<sub>2</sub>, based upon X-ray structural parameters of **1** and [**3**]<sub>2</sub>.<sup>16</sup> We obtained for the terminal phosphide a calculated chemical shift  $\delta = 1141$ ppm, while for the dimer the calculated chemical shift  $\delta = 203$ ppm. These values are in good agreement with data for the experimental systems reported herein. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR chemical shift for both model compounds is determined exclusively by the paramagnetic shielding term,  $\sigma_{para}$ .<sup>17</sup> as the diamagnetic contribution ( $\sigma_{dia}$ ) is invariant at ca. 965 ppm, and the spin—orbit term ( $\sigma_{so}$ ) is no larger than ca. 20 ppm.<sup>18</sup>

Formation of the  $Mo_2P_2$  core via terminal phosphide dimerization is a process of great interest as well as potential mechanistic complexity. Chisholm's recent theoretical study of a related process involving breakup of isolobal  $M_2(CH)_2$  (M = Mo, W) alkoxidesupported cores may be consulted for considerable insight.<sup>19</sup>

Acknowledgment. On the occasion of his 50th birthday, the authors do hereby dedicate this paper to Prof. Philip P. Power. The authors are grateful to the NSF (CHE-9988806) for funding.

**Supporting Information Available:** Text giving experimental details and tables of bond lengths and angles, fractional coordinates, and anisotropic thermal parameters for the structures of PMo(OMeCy)<sub>3</sub> and [PMo(N[*i*-Pr]Ar)(OAr<sup>2</sup>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>; text giving experimental details for PMo(OAd)<sub>3</sub>; text describing theoretical methods and results (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- Laplaza, C. E.; Davis, W. M.; Cummins, C. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2042.
- Zanetti, N. C.; Schrock, R. R.; Davis, W. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2044.
   Chichara M. J. Ecking, K.: Bastanarak, J. W. Januar, Chem. 1989, 27
- (3) Chisholm, M. H.; Folting, K.; Pasterczyk, J. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 3057.
- (4) Becker, G.; Becker, W.; Knebl, R.; Schmidt, H.; Weeber, U.; Westerhausen, M. Nova Acta Leopold. **1985**, *59*, 55.
- (5) Becker, G.; Becker, W.; Knebl, R.; Schmidt, H.; Hildenbrand, U.; Westerhausen, M. *Phosphorus Sulfur* **1987**, *30*, 349.
- (6) Binger, P. In Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry; Regitz, M., Scherer, O. J., Eds.; Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1990; p 100.
- (7) Scheer, M.; Kramkowski, P.; Schuster, K. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2874.
  (8) Kramkowski, P.; Baum, G.; Radius, U.; Kaupp, M.; Scheer, M. Chem-Fur. J. 1999, 5, 2890.
- (9) Tsai, Y.-C.; Diaconescu, P. L.; Cummins, C. C. Organometallics 2000, 19, 5260.
- (10) Portions of this research were presented at the 224th ACS National Meeting, Boston, MA, August 18–22, 2002 (INOR 601).
- (11) Tsai, Y.-C.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Mindiola, D. J.; Cummins, C. C.; Klooster, W. T.; Koetzle, T. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10426.
- (12) Cherry, J.-P. F.; Stephens, F. H.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Diaconescu, P. L.; Cummins, C. C. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6860.
- (13) Chan, D. M.-T.; Chisholm, M. H.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Marchant, N. S. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4170.
- (14) Chisholm, M. H.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Koh, J. J. Polyhedron 1985, 4, 893.
- (15) Scherer, O. J.; Sitzmann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 268, C9.
- (16) Calculations were carried out with ADF version 2002.03. For an introduction to ADF, see: te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; Van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T. J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 931–967. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR shielding calculations derived from an all-electron ADF run that included the effects of spin–orbit coupling.
- (17) Wu, G.; Rovnyak, D.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Zanetti, N. C.; Musaev, D. G.; Morokuma, K.; Schrock, R. R.; Griffin, R. G.; Cummins, C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 10654.
- (18) The following article discusses the influence of spin-orbit coupling on the NMR chemical shift: Kaupp, M.; Malkina, O. L.; Malkin, V. G.; Pyykkö, P. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **1998**, *4*, 118.
- (19) Chisholm, M. H.; Davidson, E. R.; Quinlan, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15351.

JA0354317